





# Investigating the Microbial Contamination of Chicken Meat Paste in Naghadeh City

#### Davoud Nassiri\*

Department of Veterinary Sciences, Naghadeh Branch, Islamic Azad University, Naghadeh, Iran

Received: 28/Oct/2023 Revised: 04/Jan/2024 Accepted: 25/Jan/2024

#### Abstract

**Background and aim:** The burgers, sausages, and bacon are all prepared using various techniques. MDM (Mechanically Deboned Poultry Meat) is a particular product that is frequently used to make such products. The MDM is really made up of all the chicken leftovers and wastes, including skin, bones, and useless pieces, that are transported to factories in a dirty and unhygienic state and combined together to create MDM (Mechanically Deboned Poultry Meat). The goal of the study is to ascertain the microbiological rate of a substance that will be utilized in food items that people, especially children, consume in large quantities.

**Materials and Methods:** 100 samples of MDM from sausage manufacturing businesses' bone removal machines were obtained. The microbiological characteristics of the MDM samples were evaluated and analyzed in accordance with Iran standard institutions as soon as they were delivered to the laboratory in cold temperature settings.

**Results:** The samples' contamination rates were as follows: Infected samples had the following serotypes of *Salmonella*: 25% *S. gallinarum*, 19% *S. Typhimorium*, 17% *S. enteritidis*, 15% *S. paratyphi A*, 15% *S. paratyphi C*, and 9% *S. paratyphi B*. There were also 68% *Staphylococcus aurous*, 62% *fermentative*, 59% *E. coli*, 53% various forms of *Salmonella*, and 21% *mustiness*.

**Conclusion:** It was evident from counting the colonies that 10% of the samples had contamination rates that were greater than allowed for and beyond the limits.

Keywords: MDM, Buder, Salmonella, Staphaurus, E.coli

*Cite this article as:* Davoud Nassiri. Investigating the Microbial Contamination of Chicken Meat Paste in Naghadeh City. J Altern Vet Med. 2024; 7(22): 1293-1300.



#### Introduction

The most important role of the food is to help the healthiness and stability of the body. Recent years have witnessed a growing trend in studies on the relationship between the food and the diseases, which have resulted in significant and remarkable findings on the issue (Werthmann et al., 2016; Gharibzahedi and Jafari, 2017). Nowadays, due to the problems caused by the industrialized world and the career issues related the fast-pacing condition of modern living, people are inclined to use ready-made foods such as sausage, baloney, and hamburger which is rapidly and remarkably increasing. Variety of ways are employed to prepare these kinds of foods, but one of the most common ways which are used in most countries especially in Iran and most developing countries is using a raw mixed materials known as MDM (Mechanically Deboned poultry Meat).

Chicken meat consumption and its related products have significantly increased in recent years. Most people prefer to use poultry instead of red meat and when formulating the meat products different amounts of meat chicken are used (Kralik *et al.*, 2018; Baéza *et al.*, 2022; Shan *et al.*, 2017). The MDM is a raw processed material derived from the chicken, which meet an increase in its production rate recently (Bhatnagar *et al.*, 2022).

The MDM has been produced since 1960 and it is used as a rudimentary and primary material in the formulation of some meat products and it is widely accepted in the formulation of different meat products due to its nutritional and technological features as well as its affordable price (Miller, 2018; Cenci et al., 2018). On the other hand, some shortcomings such as the color, taste, and the inappropriate tissue and its high microbe load content made it to be extremely detrimental and perishable (Iñiguez-Moreno et al., 2023). After killing the birds (chicken) in the slaughterhouses, the chicken is sent to the packaging units in which the bones are left and remained after the packaging, which has many chicken pieces stuck to the bones. The bones were discarded or pulverized in the past, but these days by using a machine called Budder, the meat pieces are removed from the bones under pressure and the machine will produce two kinds of MDM, one is related to that of the bones and the other contains the meat. In some other factories, there is even a simpler device, which grates the bones, and the resulted MDM is passed through a

porous and perforated film or layer and the MDM is produced. Due to the fact that the chicken bones are taken from different places like restaurant, fast food restaurant and unauthorized slaughterhouses and different factories and lots of other places through unhealthy manner and are put into plastic sacks and transferred to producing units, therefore they can be a bountiful source of bacteria such as Salmonella, E. coli O157: H7, Listeria monocyrogenas, Yerisinia, Staphylococcus aurous. Enterohaemorrhagic, Enterocolitica and the putrefying agent bacteria like Pseudomonas. Therefore, the quality of the chicken, which is used to produce the MDM, is very important in its final quality (Akramzadeh et al., 2020). The E. coli belongs to the flora family of the intestine, but when it is exposed to the external tissues and textures such as billiary channels and intestinal vacuoles or genitourinary organs will cause the disease (Sarowska et al., 2019; Peng et al., 2024).

The most common type of food poisoning is caused by *enterotoxin Staphylococcus*; they immediately become resistant to various antibiotics and cause some therapeutic problems (Argaw and Addis, 2015). When *Salmonella* enters the body orally, it usually is pathogenic and will cause Enteritis, intestinal fever and systematic infestation (Bhat *et al.*, 2022; Tariq *et al.*, 2022; Ahmed, 2016).

Therefore, confirming and justifying the MDM is highly critical, because the population is growing so fast and they require receiving protein. As a result, authorities have always emphasized preparing the sufficient food by considering the health factors. The study aimed at finding and evaluating the MDM used for making sausages, baloney, and hamburger.

### **Materials and Methods**

The study gathered the samples through continuous visits to factories producing sausages, baloney, and hamburger, which comprised 100 samples of MDM when preparing the MDM in the Buder in sterile condition, and where put in lidded glass containers, the sampling size was about 500 grams. The samples were transferred to the laboratory in sterile freeze condition. The sample preparation followed the Iran national standard number of 8923-2. In order to prepare the suspension, we used the blender regarding the point that the veterinary organization approved some sectors of producing and distributing the MDM, but unfortunately these sectors

did not have the laboratory and the health ministry does not confirm the consumption of this raw material. Nevertheless, the chicken packaging sectors and factories producing the sausage, baloney, and hamburger, which used this material to produce the given product, were used as the sources for the sampling. These sectors supplied the MDM samples in freeze 10 kg packages.

In the microbial analysis, the main goals are the finding the *E. coli* and *Salmonella SPP*, which must not be present in the samples under study. For this case, counting the microorganisms, which can be found in the product if they have the authorized level. To do this, the total counting of the microbes along with the *mustiness* and *fermentative* was conducted. Finding and identifying the bacteria followed the following standard numbers including 1820 for *Salmonella*, 2940 for *E. coli*, 1994 for *Staphylococcus aurous*, 997 for *mustiness* and 5272 for general microorganism counting. All culturing medium for the study prepared by Merck KGaA, Germany.

#### Results

After conducting the above-mentioned experiment on the samples, it became evident that 68% of the samples were infected with *S. aureus*, 62% with *fermentative*, 59% with *E. coli*, 53% with different *Salmonella* Serotypes and 21% with *mustiness*. Regarding the 53% infection of different *Salmonella* Serotypes, the *Salmonella* Serotypes test was conducted (Table 1). The study identified six different serotypes of which the most and least

frequent serotypes are S. gallinarum and S. paratyohi B, respectively. Regarding the S. aureus, after culturing the samples on Baird Parker medium, it was revealed that 68% of the samples were infected with the bacterium. After determining the samples, the bacterium colonies counting were conducted for the S. aureus (Table 2). It can be said that 54% of the samples had colonies reaching to 103 and 2% of the samples had 4×10<sup>3</sup> colonies which had the highest level of infestation. In order to identify the samples with mustiness and fermentative, after culturing the samples on SDA (Sabouraud Dextrose Agar) with dilution level of 10<sup>-2</sup>, it was clear that 21% of the samples were infected with mustiness and 62% with fermentative. Counting the colonies number and diversity was conducted after sample infection rate was measured (Table 2). The infected sample had the highest and lowest level of mustiness from 400 to 100, respectively. This is important because the lowest and highest infection rate for the fermentative were 1000 and 4000 colonies, respectively. The microorganism overall counting with different dilution rate on plate count agar medium is shown in table 3. It is witnessed that 21% of the samples were infected with all kinds of microorganism and 14% were infected free. Regarding the existing colonies of these 14 samples, it was clear that the number of existing microorganisms was lower than that of the healthy meat.

Serotypes	Group	Somatique	H Phase I	H Phase Ц	Serotypes frequency	The serotypes sample infected with Salmonella
S.gallinarum	D	12, 9.1	-	-	13%	25%
S.typhimorium	В	12.5, 4.1	I	1.2	10%	19%
S.enteritidis	D	12, 9.1	G, m	1.7	9%	17%
S.parathphi A	A	1.2, 12	A	-	8%	15%
S.parathphi C	C	6.7 Vi	C	1.5	8%	15%
S.parathphi B	В	12.5, 4.1	В	1.2	5%	9%
Total					53%	100%

Table 1. The antigenic structure and number of different serotypes of Salmonella in the MDM samples.

	Mustiness			Fermentative			S. aureus	
Number of	Infected positive	Positive sample	Number of	Infected positive	Positive sample	Number of	Infected positive sample	Positive sample
colonies	sample to	infection (%)	colonies	Sample of	infection (%)	colonies	to S. aureus (F)	infection (%)
	mustiness (F)			femenative (F)				
-1×10 <sup>2</sup>	1 (10%)	47%	$1-10\times10^{2}$	23%	37%	$-1 \times 10^{3}$	54%	79%
$10^2 - 2 \times 10^2$	6 (6%)	29%	$10-20\times10^{2}$	17%	28%	$10^3 - 2 \times 10^3$	9%	14%
$-3 \times 10^{2}$	3 (3%)	14%	$-30 \times 10^{2}$	12%	19%	$-3 \times 10^{3}$	3%	4%
$2 \times 10^{2}$			20			$2 \times 10^{3}$		
$-4 \times 10^{2}$	2 (2%)	10%	$-40 \times 10^{2}$	10%	16%	$-4 \times 10^{3}$	2%	3%
$3 \times 10^{2}$			30			$3 \times 10^{3}$		
Total	21 (21%)	100%	Total	62%	100%	Total	68%	100%

**Table 2**. The number of colonies of *mustiness*, *fermentative*, and *S. aureus* in MDM (%).

Colonies number	Frequency (%)
$10^{3}$	17 %
$10^3 - 10^4$	23 %
$10^4 - 10^5$	39 %
$10^5 - 10^6$	11 %
$10^6 – 10^7$	6 %
$10^{7}$ – $10^{8}$	3 %
$10^8 - 10^9$	1 %
Total	100

Table 3. Microorganism overall counting in MDM (%).

#### Discussion

Regarding the 50 years MDM production in the world, there have been many studies on the MDM characteristics. Greenwood and Swami introduced a study and reported that 22% of the MDM infection was due to Salmonella. Conley conducted a study on the proliferation of Salmonella regarding the Frankfort chicken sausage producing line (Conley, 2014). 3% Of MDM infection, containing baloney produced in this factory was reported to have Salmonella infection (Fimbres-García et al., 2022; Baker et al., 2012). There are legal and systematic rules and instruction for the MDM characteristics, production, storage, and formulation. For example, the 2073/2005, NoEC 583/2004 of European union instruction proposes a set of guidelines on the microbial features, sampling, storing, producing and formulating and also the rules regarding the labeling of the product containing the MDM with FSIS USDA'S of America (Commission Regulation (EC) No 2073/2005, 2005; Commission Regulation (EC) No 835/2004, 2004). Controlling the food health and safety to provide users' health is highly important. In order for providing healthy food and product, the ingredients should be monitored, controlled, and standardized. The results of the study showed a higher degree of microbial contamination in MDM, which is congruent with the study conducted by China, which evaluated 100 freeze samples of MDM and reported to contain bacterium infection of Salmonella, E.coli, Staph.aureus and mustiness. The most common part in MDM infection was related to the killed chicken especially in the killing process in the slaughterhouses (China, 2020). Many studies were conducted in Iran, which confirmed the chicken carcass infection including the following. In a study conducted, 100 slaughtered chickens were studied in the Ahvaz slaughterhouses and it was reported that the samples were infected with Salmonella at 12%

level (Miahi et al., 2005). Niazi Shahraki et al. also the slaughtered chicken in different slaughterhouses in Tehran and reported that 69% of the samples were infected with Salmonella (Niazi Shahraki et al., 2007). Another research was also conducted by Wijesinghe et al. on the infection load changes of Staphylococcus aurous at different stages of bird killing in the slaughterhouses and the results indicated that different stages including plucking, emptying the viscera, cold water immersing in chiller tank are considered to be the most critical stages in slaughterhouses and the average Staphylococcus aurous counting at emptying viscera stage was 4.3×10<sup>4</sup> and 90% of the chicken carcasses showed Staphylococcus aurous contamination (Wijesinghe et al., 2023). The contamination of chicken at deboning stage can be transferred the MDM. Therefore, maintaining the health related factors and conditions during the MDM preparation stage could serve as a barrier in the infection increase. The most important point in preparing the MDM is the thorough cleaning and securing the chicken carcass before it is put in the deboning machine. The rectum, viscera, gizzard, heart, liver and different poultry parts should be completely removed from the chicken. In addition, sustaining the cold temperatures during the production stage to cool the chicken immediately after slaughtering at 4°C is important in reducing infection load. Iran developed the standard procedures for maintenance, preservation, and mixing in 2005 and the microbial and Physiochemical properties in 2007. Therefore, there are not many researches on the production status of the MDM. We can only refer to a research which confirmed the microbial contamination of the MDM (Vollmers et al., 2022). The MDM is produced by sausage, baloney, and MDM producing factories. In this study, samples of MDM were selected during the deboning stage in Sausage and baloney factories in Tehran

province and were tested using the standard methods and the results were compared with Iran National standard. The measured factors included the total counting of the microorganisms per gram, with the authorized limit of 5×104, E. coli counting per gram with the authorized limit of 5×10, positive Staphylococcus coagula per gram with the authorized limit of 1×10 and Salmonella in 25 g with the negative authorized limit (D'amico, 2014). After counting the number of S. aurous bacterium colonies, it was determined that the rate of contamination in 14% of the samples was far beyond the standard authorized limit while, based on the standard, the number of Staphylococcus aurous colonies in the samples should be 10<sup>3</sup>. Because there was not any comprehensive study on this bacterium in the country, so an accurate comparison cannot be done in this case. However, regarding the mustiness and fermentative colonies counting, it can be said that contamination rate of the samples were 11 and 39% above the standard level (Yilma, 2012). Based on the standard level, the mustiness and fermentative colonies number in the sample must be eventually  $10^2$ and 10<sup>3</sup>, respectively. After the total counting of microorganisms, the results showed that 10% of samples were infected. Regarding the standard authorized limit, the total counting of microorganisms should be 10<sup>5</sup> for the Sausages and 10<sup>6</sup> for the hamburger (Silva et al., 2023). In this study, 53% of samples were infected with different types of Salmonella indicating the high contamination of these samples and if they are not completely cooked, the produced food can be very problematic. These bacteria can cause infection due to their adhesion capability to the surfaces of equipment, tools, and people, which also raise the possibility of transmission (Querido et al., 2019). Also due to Staphylococcus aurous bacterium having the ability to produce heat resistant toxins, it is one important cause of food-borne illnesses. E. coli and Salmonella belong to the normal flora in the human digestive system and other animals, which are excreted through the feces and can cause environment pollution (Abulreesh, 2012; Zhang et al., 2020). The contamination present in these samples with E. coli and Salmonella showed a high contamination through fecal specimens. The MDM due to specific production processes, transportation, and processing develop contamination at different stages. Therefore,

besides the typical microbes in the meat, we should expect the secondary infection type to the other factors, which are also pathogenic. Consequently, in some samples, the microbial infection load was extremely high. Unfortunately, the type of bacteria can cause serious risks for human. For example, considering the Salmonella contamination which is very high, we should not imagine that this is the general infection statistics, because 25 gram of the sample is selected for the study, and should know that a few ten gram of MDM is obtained from the bones, so a few infected ones would infect all the MDM. Cynically speaking, it should be stated that the noncontaminated samples must be prepared in an exceptional process or about 59% of samples were contaminated with E. coli. Based on the Laboratory experiments, samples of chickens in factory would rarely be discarded due to E. coli contamination and if the total counting of microorganisms is high, the infection in poultry is reported. The 14% of the tested samples had extremely low infection rate; The microbial load of these samples taken from the thigh and chest were also lower which made clear that the samples were put into the Cholera before packaging which is also not permissible since the serious dangers will follow.

#### Conclusion

In conclusion, the results show that the raw material (MDM) is not recommended and also acceptable to be used from both the microbial contamination and the chemical quality; But because this research has been done on a limited number of samples, it cannot accurately determine the contamination level discovered in the product, so it is essential that comprehensive investigations across the country associated with this product be conducted. It is hoped that comprehensive programs for producing healthy production or preventing the MDM production would be resulted using these information.

#### References

Abulreesh HH. Salmonellae in the environment: InTech, 2012.

Ahmed AM. Frequency of Shigella, Salmonella species and Intestinal Parasites in a diarrheal diseases in Sinnar State: Sudan University of Science and Technology, 2016.

- Akramzadeh N., Ramezani Z., Ferdousi R., Akbari-Adergani B., Mohammadi A. and Karimian-Khosroshahi N. editors. Effect of chicken raw materials on physicochemical and microbiological properties of mechanically deboned chicken meat. Vet Res Forum, 2020; 11(2): 153-158.
- Argaw S. and Addis M. A review on staphylococcal food poisoning. Food Science and Quality Management, 2015; 40: 59-72.
- Baéza E., Guillier L. nad Petracci M. Production factors affecting poultry carcass and meat quality attributes. Animal, 2022; 16: 100331.
- Baker CG., Ranken M. and Kill R. Food industries manual: Springer Science & Business Media, 2012.
- Ahmad Bhat K., Manzoor T., Ahmad Dar M., Farooq A., Ahmad Allie K., Majeed Wani S., et al. Salmonella infection and pathogenesis. Enterobacteria. IntechOpen, 2022.
- Bhatnagar N., Ryan D., Murphy R. and Enright A. A comprehensive review of green policy, anaerobic digestion of animal manure and chicken litter feedstock potential—Global and Irish perspective. Renew Sust Energ Rev, 2022; 154: 111884.
- Cenci DF., Kilian J., Janeczko MU., Manzoli A., Rigo E. and Soares MBA. Effect of meat and water temperature and emulsion speed on the industrial process for chicken mortadella. J Food Process Eng, 2018; 41(8): e12918.
- China L. The role of human albumin solution in preventing infection in patients with acute decompensation of liver cirrhosis. Doctoral thesis (Ph.D), UCL (University College London), 2020.
- Conley L. Talking food: motivations of home food preservation practitioners in Kentucky. Doctoral Dissertation, University of Kentucky, 2014.
- D'amico DJ. Microbiological quality and safety issues in cheesemaking. Microbiol Spectr, 2014: 251-309.
- Fimbres-García JO., Flores-Sauceda M., Othon-Díaz ED., García-Galaz A., Tapia-Rodríguez MR. and

- Silva-Espinoza BA. Facing resistant bacteria with plant essential oils: Reviewing the oregano case. Antibiotics, 2022; 11(12): 1777.
- Gharibzahedi SMT. and Jafari SM. The importance of minerals in human nutrition: Bioavailability, food fortification, processing effects and nanoencapsulation. Trends Food Sci Technol, 2017; 62: 119-32.
- Iñiguez-Moreno M., González-González RB., Flores-Contreras EA., Araújo RG., Chen WN. and Alfaro-Ponce M. Nano and technological frontiers as a sustainable platform for postharvest preservation of berry fruits. Foods, 2023; 12(17): 3159.
- Kralik G., Kralik Z., Grčević M. and Hanžek D. Quality of chicken meat. Animal Husbandry and Nutrition. 2018; 63.
- Miahi M., Ghorbanpour M. and Kavousifard R. Evaluating the contamination of broiler chickens at Ahvaz slaughterhouses to Salmonella, the 14<sup>th</sup> Veterinary Conference, Iran, 2005; PP: 142.
- Tarté R., Sebranek JG., Miller DK., Yoder LE., Lonergan SM. and Acevedo NC. Processing Characteristics and Rheological Properties of Mechanically Separated Chicken and Chicken Breast Meat. Meat Muscle Biol, 2018; 2(2): 59.
- Niazi Shahraki S., Rokni N., Razvilor V., Bahonar A. and Akhondzadeh Basti A. Qualitative and quantitative assessment of killed chicken infection in industrial slaughterhouses of Tehran to Salmonella. J Vet Res, 2007; 6, 385-389.
- Peng Z., Wang X., Huang J. and Li B. Pathogenic Escherichia coli. Molecular Medical Microbiology: Elsevier, 2024; PP: 1065-96.
- Querido MM., Aguiar L., Neves P., Pereira CC. and Teixeira JP. Self-disinfecting surfaces and infection control. Colloids Surf B Biointerfaces, 2019; 178: 8-21.
- Sarowska J., Futoma-Koloch B., Jama-Kmiecik A., Frej-Madrzak M., Ksiazczyk M. and Bugla-Ploskonska G. Virulence factors, prevalence and potential transmission of extraintestinal

- pathogenic Escherichia coli isolated from different sources: recent reports. Gut Pathog, 2019; 11: 1-16.
- Shan LC., Regan Á., Monahan FJ., Li C., Lalor F. and Murrin C. Consumer preferences towards healthier reformulation of a range of processed meat products: A qualitative exploratory study. Br Food J, 2017; 119(9): 2013-26.
- Silva IF., de Rezende-Lago NCM., de Marchi PGF., Messias CT. and Silva LA. Microbiological Quality of Food. Seven Editora, 2023: 1501-18.
- Tariq S., Samad A., Hamza M., Ahmer A., Muazzam A. and Ahmad S. Salmonella in poultry; an overview. IJMSAT, 2022; 1(1): 80-4.
- Vollmers J., Wiegand S., Lenk F. and Kaster A-K. How clear is our current view on microbial dark matter?(Re-) assessing public MAG & SAG datasets with MDMcleaner. Nucleic Acids Res, 2022; 50(13): e76-e.

- Werthmann J., Jansen A. and Roefs A. Make up your mind about food: A healthy mindset attenuates attention for high-calorie food in restrained eaters. Appetite, 2016; 105:53.
- Wijesinghe U., Welikala U. and Thiripuranathar G. Mechanism of silver nanoparticle-based postharvest technologies. In Postharvest Nanotechnology for Fresh Horticultural Produce, 1th ed., CRC Press, 2023; PP: 116-141.
- Yilma Z. Microbial Properties of Ethiopian Marketed Milk and Milk Products and Associated Critical Points of Contamination: An Epidemiological Perspective, Epidemiology Insights, Dr. Maria De Lourdes Ribeiro De Souza Da Cunha (Ed.), InTech, 2012; 20: 297.
- Zhang S., Abbas M., Rehman MU., Huang Y., Zhou R. and Gong. Dissemination of antibiotic resistance genes (ARGs) via integrons in Escherichia coli: a risk to human health. Environ Pollut, 2020; 266: 115260.

مقاله پژوهشی



# بررسی آلودگی میکروبی خمیر گوشت مرغ در شهرستان نقده

# داوود نصیری\*

گروه علوم دامپزشكى، واحد نقده، دانشگاه آزاد اسلامى، نقده، ايران

تاریخ دریافت: ۱۴۰۲/۰۸/۰۶ اصلاح نهایی: ۱۴۰۲/۱۰/۱۴ تاریخ پذیرش: ۱۴۰۲/۱۱/۰۵

## چکیده

زمینه و هدف: همبرگرها، سوسیسها و بیکنها با تکنیکهای مختلفی تهیه می شوند. خمیر گوشت مرغ محصول خاصی است که اغلب برای تولید چنین محصولاتی استفاده می شود. هدف از این مطالعه تعیین میزان بار میکروبی در این نوع از محصولات غذایی بود که قرار بود توسط مردم به ویژه کودکان استفاده شود.

**مواد و روشها:** ۱۰۰ نمونه خمیر گوشت مرغ از شرکتهای تولید کننده سوسیس و کالباس جمع آوری شدند. این نمونه بلافاصله در شرایط دمای سرد به آزمایشگاه منتقل شدند و ویژگیهای میکروبی آنها بر اساس موسسه استاندارد ایران ارزیابی و آزمایش شد.

یافته ها: میزان آلودگی در نمونه ها عبارت بود از ۶۸ درصد استافیلو کو کوس اوروس، ۶۲ درصد از نوع تخمیری، ۵۹ درصد اشریشیا کولی، ۵۳ درصد انواع سالمونلا، ۲۱ درصد کپکزدگی و نمونه های آلوده به سروتیپ های مختلف سالمونلا شامل ۲۵ درصد سالمونلا گالیناروم، ۱۹ درصد سالمونلا تا یغی موریوم، ۱۷ درصد سالمونلا انتریتیدیس، ۱۵ درصد سالمونلا پاراتایفی A، ۱۵ درصد سالمونلا پاراتایفی C و ۹ درصد سالمونلا پاراتایفی B بود.

**نتیجه گیری:** بر اساس شمارش تعداد کلنی ها مشخص شد که میزان آلودگی در ۱۰ درصد نمونه ها فراتر از حد مجاز بود.

واژههای کلیدی: خمیر گوشت مرغ، برگر، سالمونلا، استافیلوکک، اشرشیاکلی

نوید نصیری. بررسی آلودگی میکروبی خمیر گوشت مرغ در شهرستان نقده. مجله طب دامپزشکی جایگزین. ۱۴۰۳؛ ۷(۲۲): ۱۲۹۳–۱۳۰۰.